

From	Comment	Summary	Town
Chelmarsh Parish Council	Chelmarsh Parish Council discussed the proposals to remove the bring back sites.	The facility is a well used site.	Chelmarsh
Church Stretton Town Council	<p>The consultation documentation makes an argument for the closure of Bring Banks (BB) across Shropshire, as the majority of materials currently being recycled at BB's, can already be collected at kerbside or taken to a Household Recycling Centre (HRC's). In our experience however, the BB located at the Lion Meadow car park in Church Stretton are well used by residents and appear to fill and be emptied regularly. There has to be a concern therefore, that this natural migration to using kerbside collection and/or HRC's isn't entirely evident here and indicates demand for the current BB facilities.</p> <p>The Church Stretton BB currently has provision for the recycling of cartons, metal packaging, mixed glass, mixed paper, textiles and clothing. The kerbside collection service, does not allow for the collection of cartons, textiles and clothing. The Church Stretton Market Town Profile shows that there is an older age profile in the town, when compared to the rest of Shropshire, with all of the age bands over the age of 55, showing a higher percentage than for both Shropshire and England.</p> <p>Over 30% of the Church Stretton population is aged over 65 and 6% over the age of 85. Source: 2011 Census, Office for National Statistics.</p> <p>The closest HRC is 8 miles away in Craven Arms, which for our ageing population is a significant barrier to recycling and also represents a significant number of car journeys to recycle items that can currently be recycled at the BB's. Part of the argument for closing the BB's is to reduce the impact on the environment, from the vehicles servicing the sites. We feel that this should be offset however, by the additional householder journeys to HRC's or the impact on the environment, of these currently recycled materials finding their way</p>	<p>Retain banks for items not collected at the kerbside.</p> <p>Enforce to prevent misuse of bring banks.</p>	Church Stretton

Clungunford Parish Council	Clungunford Parish Council discussed the proposal on the Bring Bank consultation at its meeting on 9th January and noted that the banks in the village were well used and were required as the council believes that kerbside collection is not sufficient. The council therefore	Kerbside collection is not sufficient	Clungunford
Eaton-Under-Heywood and Hope Bowdler Parish Council	The members of this parish council read the details you put out about this consultation with some amazement. You state that removal of the Shropshire Council owned bring bank sites will help reduce fly-tipping and littering - and will save money. It is the view of the parish council that the closure of the bring banks will increase fly-tipping, not reduce it and will almost certainly result	People will fly tip	Eaton-Under-Heywood and Hope Bowdler
Green Shropshire Exchange	GSX is the environment network of the green groups across Shropshire and Telford. The council has a sustainability policy which includes waste management and recycling. The need to increase recycling, this includes plastic and tetrapacks, is a vital part of this policy. Removal of the banks could result in a reduction in recycling and an increase in fly tipping. It will cost the council and land owners more to deal with the waste dumped. In rural areas people will have to make longer journeys to visit the recycle sites and this will increase carbon emissions. The banks need to be checked and cleaned more often and the council should encourage more kerbside recycling.	People will fly tip Increase frequency that bring banks are maintained People will have to make more/longer car journeys	NA

Ludlow Town Council	<p>Inferring that removing public access to large and wide ranging recycling bring banks would increase recycling and reduce fly-tipping is a nonsensical idea.</p> <p>The strategy in your consultation goes against both European and Government policy which states:</p> <p>"The roles of local authorities and the waste sector are critical at this stage of the lifecycle. As a Government we must set clear expectations giving them the confidence to invest in infrastructure to deal with waste and to promote UK recycling. And we must, and will, ensure that local authorities are resourced to meet new net costs arising from the policies in this Strategy including up front transition costs and ongoing operational costs. There has been insufficient action to drive for better quantity and quality in recycling. We must make it easier for households, businesses and local authorities to recycle".</p> <p>The strategy discriminates against people in our town without ready access to a vehicle as the remaining recycling banks (for a wide variety of materials) will be 9 miles away in Craven Arms.</p>	How do people without a car get to the recycling bank?	Ludlow
---------------------	---	--	--------

Rushbury Parish Council	<p>At a recent meeting of Rushbury Parish Council, the Councillors discussed the proposal detailed in your email below.</p> <p>Whilst we understand the need for financial savings and a cleaner environment, the Councillors expressed concern over the proposals and requested that I communicate these to you. I have looked at the survey and whilst I could respond to this, I could not adequately detail the responses in relation to the Parish Council; hence my email to you.</p> <p>There are currently 3 recycling bins at Rushbury Village Hall. Photo attached from which you will see that the conditions are generally good. I appreciate that this may be more of an exception. The Councillors believe this to be a welcome and used initiative in addition to the kerb side collection for householders.</p> <p>I understand that one of the proposed withdrawal reasons is that the bins are not properly used with incorrect materials being placed in the bins resulting in that bin not being recyclable. However, we do understand and see that the current collection allows for cans, glass and plastics to be stored together with a separate storage of paper and cardboard. This facility is seen to work well in the Rushbury Parish and from what we can see is correctly used.</p> <p>We appreciate the Council's concerns over the major collection centres and the increase in fly-tipping and littering. We support whatever measures can be introduced to eliminate this. However, is there an opportunity for the more dispersed facilities such as at</p>	Rationalise number and location of bring banks	Rushbury
-------------------------	---	--	----------

Shrewsbury Friends of the Earth	<p>Summary</p> <p>1. Closure of the sites must not be allowed to result in a reduction of the amount of materials recycled. The assertion that this will be the case if all sites are completely closed is not convincing.</p> <p>2. We agree that kerbside collection is preferable and where that exists county wide for some materials it is not true for all.</p> <p>3. The suggestion that people can go to the main recycling centres instead is illogical. The distances for most are considerable and the result would be a massive increase in car journeys which can't be justified.</p> <p>4. We are sympathetic to the need to save money where possible so will support removal of bins for materials that can easily be recycled through kerbside collection or at charity shops (clothes) or in store (small electrical items). Cartons are the exception and we strongly believe the existing arrangements for these must be maintained or kerbside collection introduced.</p> <p>Justification for Removal of Some Bins</p> <p>From our own observations we see that misuse of the sites is a problem but it is clear that infrequent emptying and management is a contributing factor. However, we accept more expense cannot be justified so increased attendance is not possible. For this reason we agree to the removal of bins for the materials that can be easily recycled by other means</p> <p>The Alternatives for Easily Recyclable Materials</p> <p>We would hope that most would end up being recycled but expect that some would end up in the general waste. A publicity campaign to pre</p>	Need arrangements for collection of items not currently served at the kerbside	NA
Stockton Parish Council	Stockton Parish Council at their meeting on the 2/1/19 discussed the potential closure of the Bring Bank at Norton and concluded that its closure could lead to fly tipping on the site and around the area with a	People will fly tip	Norton

Welshampton and Lyneal Parish Council	<p>The Parish Council has discussed the Council's proposal to remove the Bring Banks at 120 sites. Please accept this response by email rather than the survey as the survey is not appropriate for the Council's response.</p> <p>Wem Rural Parish Council does not agree with the proposal to remove the Bring Banks at 120 sites. The Parish Council considers these facilities are important for people who do not have storage facilities at their own homes for all their recycling and some do not have adequate transport to travel to Household Recycling Centres. Being a rural parish, the Council also considers there would be an increase of fly-tipping in the countryside.</p>	People will fly tip	Welshampton and Lyneal
Wem Parish Council	<p>The Parish Council has discussed the Council's proposal to remove the Bring Banks at 120 sites. Please accept this response by email rather than the survey as the survey is not appropriate for the Council's response.</p> <p>Wem Rural Parish Council does not agree with the proposal to remove the Bring Banks at 120 sites. The Parish Council considers these facilities are important for people who do not have storage facilities at their own homes for all their recycling and some do not have adequate transport to travel to Household Recycling Centres. Being a rural parish, the Council also considers there would be an increase of fly-tipping in the countryside.</p>	People will fly tip	Wem
Resident	<p>PLEASE tell us how the removal of the Bring Back boxes will help to reduce fly tipping etc.,.</p> <p>Surely if there is no place for waste it just gets left elsewhere.</p> <p>Strikes me that all you are doing is moving litter from one place to another and the cost of collecting from one site goes up as it has to be</p>	People will fly tip	NK
Resident	<p>I have heard on Radio Shropshire that the recycling facilities at the back of Broseley Library are going to be closed. I see many people using this including myself. Quite often things have been left outside as bins are full. In my opinion this will only lead to more fly</p>	People will fly tip	Broseley

Resident	Very alarmed to hear about this closure proposal. Seems to me it will increase, not reduce fly tipping, and certainly reduce recycling.	People will fly tip	NK
Resident	Don't pretend this is a 'saving' - this is a cut. Mismanagement is the major problem therefore the fairest solution would be to cull some	Cut management	NK
Resident	Removal of Bring Banks in Ludlow I feel is inappropriate in this civilised age. We are all exhorted to engage more and more with the purchase of consumer goods and many of us will do that out of choice. But we MUST have access to the proper disposal of old items some of which can be re-used or recycled or incinerated. An alternative is that we make unnecessary journeys by car to the Craven Arms Waste Disposal Site. Not efficient use of energy. Or we dump things	People will fly tip	Ludlow
Resident	Please do not remove any banks which are providing a different service than those provided by kerbside recycling services. For instance drinks carton banks. It is too far for residents like us (Ellesmere rural) to take our cartons to Oswestry.	To far to nearest HRC	Ellesmere